

EA



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,222	10/08/2003	Kwang-Young Im	1572.1183	4173

21171 7590 06/30/2005

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3661

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/680,222	Applicant(s) IM, KWANG-YOUNG	
	Examiner Jacques H. Louis-Jacques	Art Unit 3661	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 October 2003.
 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10082003</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed on October 8, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The “explanations of relevancy of references” document provided as attachment 1(e) is not sufficient. The attachment merely provides a general statement that “The foreign references relate to material control systems”. This is insufficient. In addition, 37 CFR 1.98 requires a “concise explanation of the relevance ... of each patent listed that is not in the English language”.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Art Unit: 3661

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weiss et al [US 2002/0161618].

Weiss et al discloses an integrated scheduler and material control system that selects an optimum transport route by calculating a prospective transport time between a source node and a destination node [0007]. According to Weiss et al, there is provided receiving and managing real layout information and real-time job information of a load and a waiting job number of a storehouse according to respective links (nodes) [0010], [0019], creating a data structure based on the real layout information and the real-time job information, and updating the data structure by receiving the real layout information and the real-time job information periodically' [0008], creating a route search structure based on a route search demand [0009], [0010], calculating a prospective transport time according to the respective links within the route search structure [0010], and selecting a transport route having a minimum prospective transport time of the respective links within the route search structure to reach the destination node from the source node, as the optimum transport route [0010], [0020], [0020]. According to Weiss et al, the prospective transport time by receiving the layout information and the real-time job information in a predetermined period of time from the transport order manager and by modeling the information, and to select a transport route having a minimum prospective transport time as the optimum transport route [0025]. Weiss et al also discloses the real-time job information comprises information on transport vehicle traffic [0018], [0021], information on errors of transport vehicles in production lines [0033], [0035]. In addition,

Weiss et al discloses that the prospective transport time is increased if the load and the waiting job number of the storehouse are increased, and the prospective transport time is decreased if the load and the waiting job number of the storehouse are decreased and that the prospective transport time is increased if the traffic of the transport vehicle is increased, and the prospective transport time is decreased if the traffic of the transport vehicle is decreased [0033]. Furthermore, Weiss et al discloses receiving a transport order from the transport order manager, requesting the optimum route for a route search, and transmitting a result of the route search so that the material control system is executed [0019], [0021]. In addition, Weiss et al discloses receiving the transport order and transmitting the transport order to the transport order manager. There is also provided, according to Weiss et al, performing the route search upon request from the transport order executing part and updating the route search by periodically receiving real-time information from the transport order manager [0005], [0006]. The real layout information, according to Weiss et al, comprises information on transport orders, transport equipment condition, and transport vehicles [0007] and the prospective transport time is calculated based on distance between the respective unit links, speed of a transport vehicle in a traveled region of the respective unit links, and vehicle transport traffic [0023].

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3661

Each of the below cited prior art references is considered to be very pertinent to applicant's claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant is strongly suggested to consider these references in reply to this office action.

5,122,959	Nathanson et al	Jun. 1992
5,265,006	Asthana et al	Nov. 1993
5,586,021	Fargher et al	Dec. 1996
5,897,629	Shinagawa et al	Apr. 1999
6,246,931	Shinogi	Jun. 2001
6,484,092	Seibel	Nov. 2002
6,873,963	Westbury et al	Mar. 2005
US 20020019759	Arunapuram et al	Feb. 2002
US 20020143598	Scheer	Oct. 2002
US 20030014286	Cappellini	Jan. 2003
US 20030195794	Yasuda	Oct. 2003

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H. Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 571-272-6962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3661

/jlj

Jacques H. Louis-Jacques
JACQUES H. LOUIS-JACQUES
PRIMARY EXAMINER